

#### PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiffs CHRISTOPHER TADDEO (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), by his attorneys, Law Offices of Michael Flynn, PC, complain of the defendant and alleges:

### **JURISDICTION**

Jurisdiction of the Court is based upon diversity of citizenship pursuant FIRST: to 28 U.S.C. §1332 and the Court's ancillary jurisdiction.

SECOND: The amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional limits of this Court, exclusive of costs and interest.

THIRD: Plaintiff CHRISTOPHER TADDEO is a resident of the State of New York, residing in Richmond County, NY.

Defendant NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORP. FOURTH: (hereinafter "AMTRAK") is a foreign corporation with its principal place of business located at 60 Massachusetts Avenue, Washington, D.C.

Defendant AMTRAK operates passenger trains in interstate commerce FIFTH: and maintained, operated and controlled Pennsylvania Station, New York which contained defendant's tracks, rails, switches, sidings, roadbeds and appurtenances thereto, over, through and upon which the defendant operated engines, trains and cars under its control and direction within the jurisdiction of the Southern District of New York.

### FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

On or before June 18, 2007, AMTRAK requested and directed certain SIXTH: vendors, including Skanska USA Civil North East, Inc. ("Slattery-Skanska") herein to perform work that involved, inter alia, construction work in and around a tunnel known as East River Tunnel 1 connecting Queens and New York counties, including the shafts leading to the tunnel servicing Pennsylvania Station, New York, all owned and operated by defendant AMTRAK.

SEVENTH: On or before June 18, 2007, AMTRAK entered into a written contract with Slattery Skanska to provide electrical services with regard to Tunnel 1 and its shaft.

EIGHTH: On or about June 18, 2007 and at all times relevant, Slattery Skanska was requested by AMTRAK to be at the Accident Site for construction related purposes.

On or about June 18, 2007 and at all times relevant, Plaintiff NINTH: CHRISTOPHER TADDEO worked as a drill runner for Slattery Skanska.

On or about June 18, 2007, Plaintiff was working in defendant TENTH: AMTRAK'S First Avenue vent shaft to East River Tunnel 1 at 33<sup>rd</sup> Street in New York City as a drill runner on behalf of Skanska Slattery (hereinafter "Accident Site").

ELEVENTH: On or about June 18, 2007, Plaintiff incurred an electrical shock as a result of the negligence of Amtrak in failing to control water leakage; in failing to bond and ground electrical lines in the East River Tunnel.

TWELFTH: At the time Plaintiff was shocked, he was lawfully at the Accident Site within the scope of his employ with Slattery Skanska.

THIRTEENTH: As a result of the electrical shocking, Plaintiff was caused to suffer severe and disabling injuries.

# AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST AMTRAK

(Negligence)

Plaintiff repeats and reiterates each and every allegation FOURTEENTH: contained in the foregoing paragraphs with the same force and effect as if fully set forth at length herein.

FIFTEENTH: Plaintiff CHRISTOPHER TADDEO's injuries were caused solely due to the negligence of AMTRAK: in failing to inspect, maintain, repair, bond and ground electrical lines; in failing to repair known arcing problems at and near the Accident Site; in failing to control water leakage at or near the accident site; and, in failing to enact and enforce safety, maintenance, operating and inspection rules, regulations procedures and practices which would have prevented plaintiffs' injuries.

SIXTEENTH: Plaintiffs' injuries were caused solely by the negligence of AMTRAK without any negligence on the part of the plaintiffs contributing thereto.

As a result of AMTRAK's negligence, plaintiff SEVENTEENTH: CHRISTOPHER TADDEO is entitled to an award of at least ONE MILLION DOLLARS (\$1,000,000).

## AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST AMTRAK (Gross Negligence)

Plaintiffs repeat and reiterate each and every allegation EIGHTEENTH: contained in the foregoing paragraphs with the same force and effect as if fully set forth at length herein.

NINETEENTH: Upon information and belief, AMTRAK knew prior to the Accident Site was the subject of arcing incidents prior to the accident in question.

TWENTIETH: After knowing that the Accident Site was the subject of arcing incidents prior to the accident in question and without repairing the cause of the arcing, AMTRAK advised Slattery Skanska that it was safe to work in the area.

TWENTY-FIRST: AMTRAK's statement that work could resume in or near the Accident Site without repairing the cause of the arcing was a wanton, reckless and willful disregard for the safety of the plaintiff and the general public.

TWENTY-SECOND: AMTRAK's conduct as described above constituted gross negligence.

TWENTY-THIRD: Plaintiff reasonably relied on AMTRAK'S statements and conduct in performing work at the accident site.

TWENTY-FOURTH: As a result of AMTRAK's gross negligence, plaintiff CHRISTOPHER TADDEO is entitled to an award of damages of at least ONE MILLION DOLLARS (\$1,000,000), as well as an award of punitive damages.

# AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST AMTRAK (Res Ipsa Loquitur)

TWENTY-FIFTH: Plaintiffs repeat and reiterate each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs with the same force and effect as if fully set forth at length herein.

TWENTY-SIXTH: The incident causing Plaintiff's injuries would not have occurred in the absence of negligence.

TWENTY-SEVENTH: The incident causing Plaintiff's injuries was caused by an agency or instrumentality within the exclusive control of AMTRAK.

TWENTY-EIGHTH: The incident causing Plaintiff's injuries was not due to any voluntary action or contribution on the part of plaintiff.

TWENTY-NINTH: As a result of AMTRAK's negligence, Plaintiff CHRISTOPHER TADDEO is entitled to an award of at least ONE MILLION DOLLARS (\$1,000,000).

## WHEREFORE, plaintiff demand's judgment on the

First Cause of Action in the sum of ONE MILLION DOLLARS (\$1,000,000);

Second Cause of Action in the sum of ONE MILLION DOLLARS (\$1,000,000)

plus punitive damages;

Third Cause of Action in the sum of ONE MILLION DOLLARS (\$1,000,000);

and plaintiff demands costs, disbursements and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on all causes of action, plus such other and further relief as is deemed proper.

Law Offices of Michael Flynn, PC Attorneys for Plaintiff 1205 Franklin Avenue Garden City, NY 11530 (516) 877-1234

By:

MCHAEL FLYNN

AO 440 (Rev. 5/85) Summons in a Civil Action						
	UNITED ST	ATES DIS	TRICT C	OURT		
	SOUTHERN	District of_	NEW Y	YORK		
CHRISTOPHER T						
	Plaintiff,		SUMMON	S IN A C	CIVIL ACTION	
	V.		CASE NUMI	BER: 07 C	iv.	
NATIONAL RAILR	OAD PASSENGER CO	RP.,				
	Defendant.					
	of Defendant) onal Railroad Passenge W. 31 <sup>st</sup> Street	er Corp.				
	York, NY 10001					
	BY SUMMONED and a  ΓORNEY (name and address)	-	ith the Clerk o	f this Cour	t and serve upon	
Law 1205 Gard	hael Flynn, Esq. (MF7) Offices of Michael Fly 5 Franklin Avenue den City, NY 11530 6) 877-1234					
service of this sumi	mplaint, which is herevenons upon you, exclus n against you for the re	ive of the day of	service. If you	fail to do	•	
CLERK				DATE		
BY DEPUTY CLE	RK					

AO 440 (Rev. 5/85) Summons in a Civil Action								
			RETU	JRN OF SERVICE				
	S	ervio	ce of the Summons and Complai	nt was made by me <sup>1</sup>				
NAME OF SERVER			R	TITLE				
Check th	ne b	ox be	ow to indicate appropriate method of servi	<u>ce</u>				
	]	]	Served personally upon the defendant. P	Place where served:				
	]	]	Left copies thereof at the defendant's dwelling house or usual place of abode with a person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein.  Name of person with whom the summons and complaint were left:					
	[	]	Returned unexecuted:					
	]	]						
			STATEMENT OF	SERVICE FEES				
TRAVEL	٠		SERVICES	TOTAL				
			DECL	ARATION OF SERVER				
			tion contained in the Return of Service and	the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing Statement of Service Fees is true and correct.				
	15/	.couit	d on DATE	SIGNATURE OF SERVER				
				ADDRESS OF SERVER				

1) As to who may serve a summons see Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.